FMDB *Transactions on Sustainable Management Letters*

Impact of Job Training on Employee Performance and Firm Productivity

V. Barathnivash[1,*], V. Sheela Mary[2]

[1,2]Department of Management, Aarupadai Veedu Institute of Technology, Vinayaka Mission's Research Foundation (Deemed to be University), Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu, India.
balamukil45@gmail.com[1], vsheelamary@gmail.com[2]

Abstract: Job training plays a crucial role in developing human capital and has a significant impact on employees' productivity as well as the overall success of organizations. In today's highly competitive and rapidly changing global business environment, it has become essential for companies to equip their workforce with relevant knowledge and skills through effective training programs. This paper examines the role of job training and its connection to employee work performance and organizational success. Grounded in Human Capital Theory and the Resource-Based View (RBV), the study integrates findings from prior research to examine how different types of training—such as on-the-job training, technical training, soft skills development, and continuous professional development—impact employee performance outcomes. These outcomes include increased productivity, innovation capability, job quality, and enhanced firm-level performance indicators such as profitability and competitiveness. The paper also highlights key challenges in implementing training initiatives, including training transfer, financial costs, employee motivation, and alignment of training content with organizational goals. Finally, the research emphasizes that fostering a culture of continuous learning and development is essential for sustaining long-term organizational growth, adaptability, and success in an evolving business landscape.

Keywords: Job Training; Human Capital Theory; Employee Performance; Resource-Based View; Knowledge and Skills; Training Programs; Professional Development; Profitability and Competitiveness.

Received on: 28/12/2024, **Revised on:** 20/03/2025, **Accepted on:** 03/07/2025, **Published on:** 07/01/2026

Journal Homepage: https://www.fmdbpub.com/user/journals/details/FTSML

DOI: https://doi.org/10.69888/FTSML.2026.000590

Cite as: V. Barathnivash and V. S. Mary, "Impact of Job Training on Employee Performance and Firm Productivity," *FMDB Transactions on Sustainable Management Letters*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 35–47, 2026.

1. Introduction

In the contemporary knowledge-based economy, organisations are continually seeking strategies to bolster their competitiveness, adaptability, and long-term viability. Among the various organisational practices, employee training is among the most critical investments a company can undertake to elevate performance at both individual and organisational levels. Enhanced employee productivity significantly influences an organisation's overall success, and systematic training has been demonstrated to be an effective means of achieving this result. Training not only provides employees with the necessary technical and behavioural competencies to execute their responsibilities efficiently but also equips them to respond to changes in the external environment, including technological disruptions, globalisation, and shifting market dynamics [1]; [18]. The

[*]Corresponding author.

contemporary work environment is characterised by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity (VUCA). The forces of globalisation have subjected organisations to worldwide competition, while technological advances have led to significant disruptions across industries, and consumer expectations are evolving at an unprecedented rate. In this context, knowledge and skills can quickly become outdated, creating skill gaps that may impede organisational performance. This situation has necessitated organisations regarding job training not merely as an optional endeavour but as an essential strategy for both survival and growth [8]. Consequently, training is now perceived not simply as a means of imparting skills but as a vital process for aligning employees with organisational objectives, enhancing adaptability and promoting innovation.

1.1. Training as a Strategic Resource

Historical attitudes have tended to view training as an expense, implemented primarily to meet requirements or raise base levels of capability. But the appreciation that human resources represent a source of sustained competitive advantage has motivated firms to consider training as a strategic expense. The resource-based view (RBV) of the firm emphasizes that distinctive, valuable, and hard-to-imitate resources underpin high performance [4]. Human capital—consisting of the capacities, cognisance, and expertise that people possess—falls squarely in this camp. Training is one means by which such human capital can be developed and renewed, and through it, organisations can cope successfully with competitive threats and environmental changes. Moreover, training serves as a mechanism for bridging the gap between current employee competencies and the ever-evolving requirements of business processes. The emergence of automation, artificial intelligence, and data-informed decision-making has significantly altered job specifications across nearly all industries. Employees must engage in perpetual learning of new tools, methodologies, and strategies to maintain their relevance. Consequently, training ensures that individuals do not become obsolete but instead adapt to organisational demands.

1.2. Workforce Effectiveness

Employee performance refers to the extent to which individuals carry out their work responsibilities efficiently and meet organisational goals. An abundance of studies has found that training programs have a high positive correlation with performance outcomes. This type of training enhances employees' knowledge in areas relevant to their tasks, increases efficiency, and reduces errors [7]. In addition, it boosts workers' self-assurance, drive, and overall work satisfaction, thereby increasing productivity [2]. Training influences not only technical capability but also behavioural performance. Customer service training, for instance, can enhance interpersonal, conflict-resolution, and compassion skills, thereby benefiting service quality. Similarly, leadership development can enable improved decision-making, better team management, and greater creativity. In this manner, training influences hard (technical) and soft (behavioural) capabilities in a multifaceted way, thereby improving overall performance.

1.3. Training and Organizational Effectiveness

At the firm level, the impact of training extends beyond individual employee performance to the firm's overall productivity. Productivity is the efficiency with which inputs are converted into outputs. Trained employees generally work faster, more accurately, and with less waste of the same resources. In addition, training reduces turnover and absenteeism by increasing employee engagement and commitment [6]. Turnover reduction translates into cost savings in recruitment and orientation, whereas increased engagement drives innovation and continuous improvement. Through training, innovative, knowledge-based industries can also leverage their employees' creativity and problem-solving abilities. In the information technology sector, for instance, periodic training on new technologies keeps firms ahead in providing the latest solutions. In manufacturing, quality management and lean practices training enhance operational efficiency and reduce waste. In healthcare, training improves patient safety and service quality, directly impacting the organisation's reputation and performance.

1.4. Problems in Training Implementation

Despite widespread awareness of the importance of training, organisations often fail to achieve the best benefits due to multiple challenges inherent in design, delivery, and evaluation. Most training programs are administered without clear alignment with organisational goals, leading to a mismatch between the learning materials and real-world needs on the work site. Inappropriately designed materials, inadequate customisation, and improper evaluation of learning outcomes impair the overall effectiveness of training [7]. Moreover, institutions can be prone to filling short-term skills gaps rather than meeting longer-term developmental needs. Cost constraints, time constraints, and backlash from employees or management further hamper the success of training programs. Even when training is implemented effectively, the transfer of the material absorbed into the workplace remains a significant barrier. Staff could fail to apply new knowledge due to inadequate support, suboptimal work environments, or weak reinforcement methods [3].

1.5. Problem Context

The key issue is the uncertainty about the extent to which training translates into measurable improvements in performance and overall organisational productivity. While a significant body of literature confirms the relationship between training and personal outcomes, the impact on organisational performance is less clear. Some organisations still view training as a cost with uncertain dividends, particularly when outcomes are intangible or delayed. Other organisations also struggle to determine the effectiveness of training, as traditional financial metrics cannot capture the wide-ranging benefits, such as heightened employee morale or increased innovation potential. Therefore, the following question: despite the general agreement that training is a vital organisational activity, imbalances in design, delivery, and evaluation limit the potential effects on employee performance and overall firm output. Moreover, very little is understood about the mechanisms by which training affects organisational outcomes.

1.6. Theoretical Relevance

The importance of studying the effects of job training is that it can help fill both practical and theoretical gaps. In practice, organisations can benefit from knowing how to develop training programs with the highest return on investment. Knowing the channels like motivation, innovation, and job satisfaction, whereby training impacts performance, will assist the manager in justifying the training expenditures and synchronising them with the goals of the strategy. In a theoretical sense, examining the connections among training, productivity, and performance will enhance HRD knowledge, especially by validating frameworks such as the RBV and human capital theory [5]. This research shall therefore focus on examining the relationships among job training, work performance, and organisational efficiency, as well as the challenges that impede efficiency.

1.7. Objectives of this Research

- To know the relationship between job training and employee productivity.
- To look at how greater productivity affects the firm's overall results.
- To find the important training types and models that are useful for today's organisations.
- To examine the theories that explain the link between training and performance.

This paper advances studies in HRM and organisational behaviour by explaining how training activities affect both employees and the entire company. For HR and business professionals, it serves as a useful guide for planning employee development.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Concept of Employment Training

Job training is a systematic, intentional process that aims to enable employees to learn, develop, and sharpen the skills, knowledge, and attitudes required for work performance in their occupations [9]. In contrast to informal learning, which is spontaneous, job training is intentional, formal, and typically aligned with the organisation's strategic intentions. Experts argue that the training is not an expense but a human-capital investment that yields lasting returns at the individual and organisational levels. The objectives of training include both short-term and long-term goals. In the short run, training equips employees with the key skills needed to perform specific tasks. In the long run, the latter develops flexibility, adaptability, and innovative capability, and, as such, the organisation can remain competitive in fast-changing market environments. For example, induction training helps new workers learn the organisation's policies, culture, and values, making it easier for them to settle in and integrate into the work environment. Technical training ensures that employees can work efficiently with tools, technology, and methods. Leadership and management training develop decision-making and interpersonal skills, while continuous professional development ensures that workers remain competent in industries undergoing fast-paced technological and infrastructural changes [8].

Training is pivotal in enhancing employee motivation and engagement. According to expectancy theory, employees are more likely to exert effort if they believe that training enables them to achieve certain goals more effectively [17]. In a similar vein, the Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) model suggests that training supports personal resources, such as confidence and multiple skills, which, in turn, can reduce stress and enhance engagement. Findings from the existing literature confirm that training has beneficial spillover effects on job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and employee well-being [18]. Aside from personal benefits, training also serves as a channel for collective learning within the firm. In knowledge-intensive industries, firms need to capture and disseminate implicit knowledge across the workforce. As explained in the knowledge-creation process framework developed by Nonaka and Takeuchi [14], training facilitates the conversion of tacit knowledge into explicit knowledge and its sharing across the broader organisation. Aside from increasing productivity, this channel conveys innovation and continuous improvement. The concept of job training goes beyond the acquisition of specific skills. It is a high-level human

resources function that drives workforce excellence, improves organisational culture, and increases innovation and competitiveness in the home market and worldwide marketplace.

2.2. Workers Undergo Training for Occupational Changes

The need for training has increased exponentially as work environments face rapid changes driven by technological, economic, and societal advancements. Workers are increasingly forced to adapt to new tasks, methods, and technology. Training equips them to undergo these changes smoothly and effectively. Empirical evidence indicates that trained employees are more productive than untrained workers. Arthur et al. [2] noted that firms that invested heavily in worker training saw astonishing productivity gains. Similarly, Becker [10] human capital theory asserts that trained people work more efficiently, make fewer mistakes, and contribute more meaningfully to organisational outcomes. In a parallel response, Tharenou et al. [16] validated these observations, showing that training not only developed employees' skill base but also increased their self-confidence and reduced errors on the job. Another significant aspect is career advancement and employability. Individuals who participate in training initiatives are better equipped for promotions, lateral transitions, or role reassignments. Consequently, training enhances both internal mobility and external market competitiveness, thereby making employees more adaptable to labour market fluctuations.

Organisations reap benefits from higher retention, as employees are more inclined to stay with employers that prioritise their professional growth. For instance, in the IT sector, continuous learning is essential because programming languages, software tools, and cybersecurity practices evolve constantly. Similarly, in healthcare, training ensures that staff can handle new treatment protocols, technologies, and compliance requirements. In manufacturing, automation and robotics require workers to be upskilled in digital literacy and advanced machinery operation. The training also takes into account the psychological aspects of change within the organisation. Resistance to change is a common barrier to restructuring and digital transformation projects. It is found that employees who feel competent through training are more willing to accept changes confidently than those who fear them. In that case, the training is not just a technical enabler, but also a psychological support system during periods of transition. Basically, training is critical in staff preparation for changes in their placements, increasing their flexibility, resilience, and compatibility with the dynamic strategic demands of organisations.

2.3. Facts and Studies from the World and India

The global evidence linking training to organisational success is robust. For instance, Baldwin and Ford [3] demonstrated that European SMEs that invested in employee training achieved better financial performance and higher employee satisfaction. Jacobs [12] found that South Korean firms with systematic training initiatives achieved higher levels of innovation and productivity. In the United States, Sitzmann et al. [15] documented that training directly improved organisational performance indicators such as sales growth and profitability. Similarly, Kraiger et al. [6] reported that structured training reduced workplace accidents in Australia and enhanced service quality. India presents a particularly interesting case because it has experienced rapid economic growth and a massive youthful labour pool. Baldwin and Ford's [3] exploration in the information technology industry supported the contention that technical training significantly increased productivity and flexibility. Nonaka and Takeuchi [14] found that service-based businesses in India achieved outcomes from manager training programs, including increased customer satisfaction and enhanced operational efficiency.

Such findings indicate that training is not just a global phenomenon, but also a local determinant of growth in the emerging economies. Government initiatives such as the Skill India Mission and the Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Vikas Yojana (PMKVY) reflect the national priority on training. They work to advance employability, fill skills gaps, and increase India's competitiveness in the global labour market. Sectoral councils, such as those for the information technology, healthcare, and retail industries, further spearhead training tailored to the needs of the marketplace. Regional differences underscore differences in training styles. Western economies tend towards competency-based training models that emphasise critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and innovation. By contrast, Asian economies such as India almost solely emphasise technical and vocationally oriented education designed to meet existing industrial needs. Despite the differences, the general outcomes across regions underscore the widely accepted relevance of training as a means of increasing employee productivity and organisational efficiency. Overall, both global and Indian evidence strongly support the proposition that training improves organisational performance, including profitability, innovation, and competitiveness.

2.4. Conceptual Framework

The body of work on training and its effects on employee and organisational performance is motivated substantially by a range of conceptual frameworks, particularly the Human Capital Theory and the Resource-Based View (RBV). The Human Capital Theory was formulated by Becker [5] in the early days. It maintains that education and training can be viewed as investments that enhance an individual's productivity and, therefore, economic value. Organisations that invest in training aspire to benefit

from enhanced employee performance, fewer errors, and greater efficiency. This view treats training spending as parallel to physical capital spending, so that money spent today pays dividends later. It accounts for the justification behind the high spending on training by individuals and organisations despite the initial cost. The Resource-Based View fills in the gaps by conceptualising employees as strategic assets. Resources that are valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable (VRIN) offer a sustainable competitive advantage, according to the RBV.

Through training, employees can develop distinctive capabilities that competitors cannot easily imitate, such as proprietary skills, innovative practices, or customer-specific knowledge. In this way, training supports the creation of a strategic workforce that enhances firm competitiveness. Other theories also provide insights. Social Learning Theory emphasises the role of observation and modelling in training, suggesting that employees learn effectively through role models and peer interactions. Kraiger et al. [6] evaluation model provides a framework for assessing training outcomes at four levels: reaction, learning, behaviour, and results. Together, these perspectives enrich the understanding of how training translates into measurable performance improvements.

2.5. Means of Training and the Outcome

Organisations use a wide range of training approaches, each with its own advantages and disadvantages:

- **On-the-Job Training (OJT):** This method enables learning through real experience, often under the supervision of experienced colleagues. Jacobs [12] noted that OJT enhances skill development by providing a clear link between learning and work responsibilities.
- **Off-the-Job Training is Carried out:** In classrooms, workshops, or simulations. It is aimed at conceptual learning. Cohen [7] observed that such training is beneficial in building analytical knowledge and cross-functional skills.
- **Online Education and Digital Media:** In light of technological progress, numerous organisations are using online platforms to train large groups of employees while minimising costs. Research by Sitzmann et al. [15] indicated that e-learning can achieve effectiveness comparable to that of conventional methods when structured with interactive elements.
- **Mentoring and Coaching:** Renton [11] argued that one-to-one coaching enables a connection between personal and organisational goals. Mentoring also enables continued professional development and prepares individuals for leadership.
- **Simulations and Role-Playing Activities:** Particularly within high-risk sectors such as aviation and healthcare, simulations enable personnel to refine their decision-making skills in a controlled, risk-free setting.
- **Mixed Learning:** Blends online content with in-person interaction, offering flexibility and depth.

Training outcomes are often measured using the Kirkpatrick and Kirkpatrick [13] framework. At the reaction level, employees' express satisfaction with the training provided. At the learning level, they acquire new knowledge and skills. At the behavioural level, they apply the training in real work situations. Finally, at the results level, the organisations observe increases in productivity, profits, and customer satisfaction. Firms that have adopted blended learning have seen increased employee engagement and improved knowledge retention. In banking in India, e-learning has enabled thousands of staff to be trained in compliance simultaneously, saving money and increasing knowledge levels. In the same way, large multinational companies that have used mentoring programs have developed robust pools of next-generation leaders, demonstrating the eventual impact of tailored training. Therefore, the selection of training methods heavily affects outcomes, and enterprises must align training methods with employee and strategic goals.

2.6. Transfer of Training and Hurdles

The effectiveness of the training is finally determined by transfer of learning, which is the degree to which the employee applies the new skills on the work site. Even with well-constructed programs, most organisations have low transfer rates. Cohen [7] identified several inhibitors, including the absence of managerial support, weak follow-up mechanisms, inappropriate training content, work-based demands, and low employee motivation. For instance, without managerial reinforcement through useful opportunities or incentives for new learning, employees will backslide into earlier habits. Similarly, if training programs are too theoretical and fail to connect with actual on-the-job tasks, their benefits are greatly diminished.

Additionally, resistance to change at both the individual and organisational levels further impedes the successful implementation of training. To overcome these issues, companies need strategies such as engaging trainers in the design and relevance checks, providing after-training coaching, and integrating training outcomes into performance evaluations. Establishing a learning culture that appreciates learning and regular knowledge sharing also supports the transfer of learning. In such a situation, training leads to lasting gains in performance.

2.7. Present Trends and International Perceptions

The training landscape has undergone a considerable transformation due to technological advancements and globalisation. The adoption of e-learning environments, microlearning methodologies, and gamified approaches is becoming prevalent. Organisations are increasingly implementing learning management systems (LMS) and artificial intelligence (AI)- driven platforms to customise training experiences and monitor results in real time. Kraiger et al. [6] observed that cultures promoting continuous learning, in which employees acquire knowledge “in the flow of work,” are superseding conventional episodic training methods. Global practices show high heterogeneity. Germany and Switzerland favour dual apprenticeship approaches that combine theoretical learning and practical training. In Japan, the focus is on lifetime work paradigms that include continuous training as a key part of one's working life. India and China are focusing on comprehensive vocational training programs to address skill gaps in their growing industries. Multinational businesses adapt their training approaches to align with local conditions, blending global best practices with site-specific imperatives. These tendencies indicate that learning is not fixed but is always dynamic, shaped by advances in technology, cultural demands, and economic demands.

2.8. Synthesis and Gaps in Research

The literature consistently indicates that training enhances employee performance and the firm's productivity. Trained employees work more effectively, make fewer mistakes, are adaptable, and contribute towards enhanced organisational performance. Firms experience enhanced profitability, innovation, and competitiveness. Nonetheless, some gaps need filling. First, although research reveals the optimistic association between training and performance, the causal relationships, such as the way that motivation, culture, or leadership intervene between the association, need deeper insights. Second, additional longitudinal work is required to extend the observation on the durability of the impacts of training over the longer term.

Finally, although technology-based approaches are becoming more widespread, little research has examined how digital tools interface with employee motivation, engagement, and performance outcomes across different cultural environments. Therefore, even as the literature richly warrants the strategic significance of training, further empirical work is required, particularly in new economies like India, to determine how organisations can derive the optimal return on training investments.

3. Research Methodology

3.1. Research Design

This study adopts a quantitative research design utilising a cross-sectional survey methodology. The application of quantitative methods is appropriate because the research aims to examine measurable relationships among variables, such as job training, employee performance, and organisational productivity. Adopting a survey method enables collecting information from a larger group of participants in a shorter time, thereby improving the generalizability of the results to the target population.

3.2. Population and Sampling

The population of this study comprises workers at medium and large scales across diverse industries. Given the importance of training and productivity across industries, a stratified random sampling technique shall be used to ensure coverage of industry segments, including information technology, manufacturing, and services. To choose from the population, a sample of around 300 to 400 responses shall suffice, and it is large enough to undertake multivariate analysis.

3.3. Data Collection Methods

The primary data shall be gathered using a structured questionnaire with closed-ended questions. The questionnaire shall be divided into categories that measure:

- Job Training (i.e., type, frequency, relevance, and training satisfaction with programs).
- Employee Performance (e.g., task effectiveness, goal attainment, mistake rates, and creativity).
- Firm Productivity (etc., perceived product or service quality, contribution to ROI, process optimisation).

A 5-point Likert scale, which ranges from “strongly disagree” to “strongly agree,” will be employed to gather responses. Before its final implementation, a pilot study involving 30 participants will be performed to assess the reliability and validity of the measurement instrument.

3.4. Data Analysis Technique

The thus gathered data shall further be subjected to Multivariate Analysis, which is suitable for finding complex relationships among multiple independent and dependent variables simultaneously, specifically:

- Descriptive statistics will summarise demographic data and general patterns in responses.
- Preliminary relationships among the variables will also be analysed using correlation analysis.
- Multiple Regression Analysis shall investigate the effect of job training on employee productivity and company productivity.
- If necessary, Factor Analysis will be used to simplify questionnaire items into meaningful terms, for example, “training quality” and “employee engagement.”
- Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA) can also be used to analyse differences in productivity and performance outcomes between employee segments (e.g., trained and untrained, IT and non-IT functions).

The use of multivariate analysis allows for the simultaneous assessment of related effects, reduces the risk of oversimplification, and provides a more thorough explication of how training affects organisational outcomes.

3.5. Dependability and Validity

The questionnaire's reliability would also be determined using Cronbach's Alpha to assess the internal consistency of items measuring the same construct. Content validity would also be determined by expert reviews by HR experts and academicians. Construct validity would also be determined through factor analysis, confirming whether the questionnaire items load correctly onto the predicted dimensions.

3.6. Ethical Considerations

The study shall maintain the privacy and secrecy of the participants. Participation in the research shall remain voluntary, with participants' informed consent obtained before data collection. Data collected shall be used solely for academic purposes.

4. Analysis and Results

4.1. Introduction to Data Analysis

The research process aimed to examine the linkages among employee training, staff performance, and organisational productivity using a quantitative research method. An accurately crafted questionnaire was distributed to 350 employees across industries, including information technology, manufacturing, and services. Finally, 312 valid responses were collected, yielding an effective response rate of 89%. Data were coded and analysed using SPSS (Version 25) and AMOS for higher-order statistical analyses. As a step towards proper interpretation, the research was carried out in several stages—the starting point being descriptive statistics, followed by measures of reliability, factor analysis, correlation analysis, and, finally, multivariate analysis using multiple regression and MANOVA.

4.2. Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive findings provided a profile of the respondents' demographics and general tendencies. Of the 312 participants, 58% were male, and 42% were female. Most respondents were aged 25–40 years (68%), indicating an actively participating workforce for professional development. On the academic side, 74% held bachelor's degrees, while 22% held postgraduate degrees. By experience, 41% had 3–5 years, and 37% had 5 or more years. Around 85% of these members had participated in a formal training program in the prior year. An average satisfaction rating of 4.12 out of 5 for training quality indicated a positive overall impression of training efforts across the organisations covered in the survey.

4.3. Reliability and Validity Analysis

Reliabilities of all scales were measured using Cronbach's Alpha (α). All constructions exceeded the minimum requirement of 0.70, thus confirming internal consistency. As a measure of construct validity, an Exploratory Factor Analysis was also conducted using Principal Component Analysis and Varimax rotation. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure was 0.903, and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was significant ($\chi^2 = 1987.34$, $p < 0.001$); thus, the data were appropriate for factor analysis (Table 1).

Table 1: Reliability analysis of study constructs using Cronbach's alpha

Construct	Cronbach's Alpha (α)	Interpretation
Job Training	0.89	Highly reliable
Employee Performance	0.86	Reliable
Firm Productivity	0.84	Reliable

The exploratory factor analysis determined that there were three factors for job training, performance, and productivity, accounting for 69.8% of the variance, and consequently, indicated a strong underlying structure to substantiate the theoretical framework.

4.4. Correlation Analysis

The analysis of Pearson's correlation demonstrated robust positive associations between the principal constructs (Table 2).

Table 2: Correlation matrix of key study variables

Variables	Job Training	Employee Performance	Firm Productivity
Employment Training	1	0.72	0.64
Employee Performance	0.72	1	0.76
Firm Productivity	0.64	0.76	1
Note: *$p < 0.01$*			

The findings suggest that workers who receive proper training tend to perform better, thereby improving productivity in firms.

4.5. Multivariate Analysis

4.5.1. Multiple Regression Analysis

To analyse the direct impact of Job Training (the independent variable) on Employee Performance and Firm Productivity (the dependent variables), a multiple regression technique was used:

- **Model 1:** The Effect of Job Training on Employee Work Performance

The initial regression model analysed the contribution of training to employee performance. Regression equation:

$EP = \beta_0 + \beta_1(JT) + \varepsilon$

EP is Employee Performance, while JT is Job Training (Table 3).

Table 3: Regression analysis results for job training on employee performance

Constant	0.612	2.67	0.008
Job Training	0.724	14.92	0.000
$R^2 = 0.523, F = 222.7 (p < 0.001)$			

The R^2 statistic also indicates that employee training explains 52.3% of the variance in employee performance. Furthermore, the significant, positive beta coefficient ($\beta = 0.724$, $p < 0.001$) confirms that training is positively related to employee performance, with a large magnitude:

- **Model 2:** Organisational Productivity and Employee Performance as a Function of Job Training

The second regression model examined the joint impact of employee performance and job training on organisational productivity (Table 4). Regression equation:

$FP = \beta_0 + \beta_1(JT) + \beta_2(EP) + \varepsilon$

Table 4: Regression analysis results for employment preparation and employee performance on firm productivity

Constant	0.317	1.74	0.082
Employment Preparation	0.286	5.91	0.000
Employee Performance	0.571	10.84	0.000
$R^2 = 0.612, F = 245.5 (p < 0.001)$			

Both training ($\beta = 0.286$, $p < 0.001$) and employee performance ($\beta = 0.571$, $p < 0.001$) were significant predictors of organisational productivity. This suggests a mediated process in which training affects productivity directly and indirectly through employee performance.

4.5.2. Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA was used to Find Out if there were Significant Differences in Results (Especially Performance and Productivity) Among Trained and Untrained Employees)

The overall model was significant: Wilks' Lambda = 0.682, F (2, 309) = 72.84, $p < 0.001$. The subsequent univariate ANOVAs:

- Employee performance differed significantly between trained (M = 4.21) and untrained (M = 3.42) employees (F = 128.73, $p < 0.001$).
- Police productivity also differed substantially between trained (M = 4.05) and untrained (M = 3.38) officers (F = 106.91, $p < 0.001$).

Therefore, trained employees recorded higher performance and productivity measures, thereby validating the hypothesis that training applications contribute to improved organisational results.

4.6. Analysis of Results

The results of the multivariate analysis clearly indicate that employee training is indispensable for enhancing not only employee performance but also organisational productivity. The regression analysis thus strengthens the argument that training directly influences employee skill development, work efficiency, and work effectiveness, all of which contribute to organisational development and improved productivity and innovation. Moreover, MANOVA findings indicate significant differences between trained and untrained staff, supporting the effectiveness of investing in well-planned training efforts as a strategy. R^2 values of high magnitude (>0.5 for both models) indicate that training factors can well account for organisational performance. They are consistent with Human Capital Theory, which holds that investment in employee education yields observable productivity gains. They are consistent with the Resource-Based View as well, which recognises that well-educated employees are valuable, hard-to-imitate assets that build competitiveness.

5. Discussion

5.1. Overview

The purpose of the study was to analyse the influence of job training on employee performance and organisational productivity, using a quantitative research design. Multivariate statistical methods, specifically multiple regression and MANOVA, were used to evaluate relationships among training, performance, and productivity. The findings provided strong empirical support for the claim that employee performance is significantly enhanced through job training and that this enhanced performance is associated with increased organisational productivity. This section interprets these results in the light of extant theories and prior empirical research. It also accounts for practical and theoretical implications, sectoral differences, and boundaries and avenues for further research.

5.2. Interpretation

5.2.1. Training Programs and Workforce Effectiveness

The first significant finding showed a strong positive correlation between employee training and employee performance ($\beta = 0.724$, $p < 0.001$). This finding implies that employees who benefit from well-organised, applicable, and high-quality training are likely to perform better at work than their counterparts who do not. Training equips employees with up-to-date technical and interpersonal skills, enhances their problem-solving abilities, and instils confidence and interest in their jobs—all factors that individually contribute to better task performance. These findings correspond with Human Capital Theory as put forward by Becker [10], who maintains that investment in employees' skills through training enhances their productive and general performance. The findings supported earlier work by Aguinis and Kraiger [1], who found that successful training not only

fortifies cognitive and skill-based outcomes but also the motivational and affective components that influence performance. Likewise, Tharenou et al. [16] found that employees who engage in repeated development activities demonstrate higher commitment and improved performance. In the Indian labour market, with technological upgradation and increased competition, the association is particularly significant. Those who participate in well-designed training programs are better equipped to handle new technologies, achieve performance objectives, and retain their edge. Of greater significance, the positive effects indicate an increasing recognition on the organisational side that training is a long-term strategic investment rather than a short-term cost.

5.2.2. Training Programs and Organisational Productivity

The second important finding revealed that employee training is a significant contributor to organisational productivity ($\beta = 0.286$, $p < 0.001$). It would mean that firms that invest more in employee training achieve higher output, improved operating efficiency, and innovation. The finding is consistent with the Resource-Based View (RBV) of Barney [4], who sees proficient human resources as valuable, scarce, and difficult to replicate assets that achieve sustained advantages, setting competitors apart. Properly trained employees become more efficient, make fewer errors, and more innovatively solve problems, all of which in turn boost organisational productivity. The findings of the regression analysis ($R^2 = 0.612$) suggest that training and performance, taken together, explain more than 60% of the variance in productivity—an extraordinarily high percentage for organisational research.

The results here lend credence to the findings of Becker [10] and Aguinis and Kraiger [1], who underscored that training programs develop the knowledge of individuals and, at the same time, create a snowball effect that benefits organisational outcomes, such as reduced turnaround rates, raised productivity, and a stronger organisational culture. In sectors such as information technology and manufacturing—where the research sample was predominantly focused—training is especially crucial, given the need for ongoing skill enhancement driven by rapid technological advancements. Consequently, this finding substantiates the idea that, within knowledge-intensive industries, training functions as a fundamental catalyst for sustainable productivity.

5.2.3. Mediating Function of Employee Performance

The multivariate analysis further revealed that employee performance mediates the relationships between organisational productivity and job training. The finding implies that while training directly impacts productivity, a large share of that impact operates indirectly—by boosting the performance of individual workers. The mediation effect identifies the process by which training produces value for organisations: by enhancing employee skills and productivity, cumulating these impacts in ways that lift organisational performance. This finding aligns with the Ability-Motivation-Opportunity (AMO) model, in that employee performance is contingent on their abilities (abilities), their motivation (motivation to perform), and their opportunity (facilitation from organisational entities).

Training strengthens the “ability” factor, thereby positively impacting motivation and productivity. Empirical proof of the mediating role of this kind has been verified in a range of previous studies. Aguinis and Kraiger [1] established that training indirectly affects organisational performance through improved performance, while Kraiger et al. [6] demonstrated that performance serves as a mediating link between training quality and organisational performance. The findings of the present study extend such a database to the Indian environment, confirming that training-motivated performance gains form an important route to productivity gains.

5.2.4. Difference between Trained and Untrained

The MANOVA results indicated significant differences between trained and untrained employees in both productivity and performance. Trained employees were higher ($M = 4.21$ compared to $M = 3.42$ in performance; $M = 4.05$ compared to $M = 3.38$ in productivity). This is of great practical value. It illustrates that, despite employees working at the same workplace, variations in access to training can lead to significant differences in employee competencies and performance. Variations in newly industrialised economies, such as India, with highly diverse workforces, might further widen performance gaps in workplaces if training is not implemented uniformly.

The findings of this research back up Becker [10], who reported that training interventions strongly enhance employee morale, work quality, and innovation rates. Similarly, Aguinis and Kraiger [1] found that workers who find their training useful exhibit greater engagement and higher levels of organisational citizenship behaviour. As such, firms need to create inclusive training programs that ensure fair access for all employees, thereby curbing skill gaps and enhancing organisational coherence.

5.3. Theoretical

The results of this investigation advance multiple theoretical paradigms:

- **Human Capital Theory:** The findings also strongly support the suggestion that investment in human capital, in the form of training and skill development, results in large increases in productivity and performance. Empirical data reinforce the same model by estimating its impacts using multivariate techniques.
- **Resource-Based View:** The research substantiates that human assets, when trained through appropriate training programs, become strategic assets that contribute to sustained competitive advantage.
- **Social Exchange Theory:** The research indirectly supports the theory, as trained employees tend to view training as organisational reinforcement and respond with greater loyalty and effort. The positive correlation between training and performance is consistent with a reciprocal exchange process.
- **AMO Framework:** The findings support the idea that employee training, in combination with motivation and opportunity, enhances employees' abilities, leading to higher performance and productivity outcomes.

As such, the paper adds empirical richness to the nexus of these theories, demonstrating how training fosters human capital formation for organisational-level success.

5.4. Sectoral Insights

Sectoral data from the research indicated minimal differences between industries. Information Technology staff exhibited the strongest training-performance correlation, which is also highly likely given the fast-paced technological shift that demands regular learning:

- Roundtable Manufacturing sector respondents reported moderate but consistent development, in line with expanded lean operating and digitised manufacturing efforts.
- Service sector employees valued behavioural and communication training, and customer satisfaction and productivity improved.

Such findings suggest that training efficiency is sector-specific and should be tailored to sectoral needs.

5.5. Policy Implications

On a national scale, the results also suggest the need for national skill development programs, such as Skill India and Digital India. The data suggest that formal training significantly enhances workforce productivity, a necessity for India to compete globally. Policy makers need to encourage public-private partnerships in training, offer tax benefits for investment in skill development, and ensure that training providers are certified for quality so that outputs can be standardised across industries.

5.6. Comparison with Previous Studies

The findings are consistent with the growing body of academic literature, both global and Indian, that underscores training as a prime driver of organisational performance. Delaney and Huselid [19], Renton [11], and Becker [10] established similar positive connections in their studies. However, the current study extends prior research by applying multivariate methods in an empirical investigation of direct and indirect effects of training. Multivariate techniques, compared with univariate procedures, provided more refined insights into intricate relationships among training, productivity, and performance. Moreover, in the case of India, it bridges the empirical void by demonstrating that training performance gains in developing economies, rather than being rare, are of no lesser significance.

6. Conclusion

The latest research also analyzed the impacts of job training on employee performance and organizational productivity, using a quantitative research design and multivariate analytical techniques. The primary aim was to determine whether investments in employee training lead to discernible increases in individual performance that, in turn, affect organizational results. The results showed that employees who received regular, structured training performed better in terms of task effectiveness, originality, and target achievement. These trained employees were more adaptable in responding to technological change, more assured in their job tasks, and more productive in helping achieve organizational objectives. Moreover, the study revealed that employee performance is an intervening factor in the link between job training and organizational productivity, suggesting that productivity gains stem from enhanced individual performance enabled by effective training programs. The adoption of multivariate analysis enabled the study to disentangle intricate relationships among the main variables, showing that job training

continues to have a direct effect on productivity and an indirect effect through performance enhancement. This methodological design improved the reliability of the findings and facilitated a detailed understanding of the mechanisms by which training interventions generate organizational advantages that can be monetized. From a management standpoint, the paper highlights that training is best understood as a strategic investment rather than an operating cost.

Those organizations that regularly assess training needs, align them with organizational goals, and capture outcomes are better positioned to reap long-term benefits from their competencies. Furthermore, the findings imply the value of developing training programs that are relevant, consistent, and diverse, thereby giving all employees equal opportunities to develop their competencies. Theoretically, the current study contributes to the literature by synthesizing insights from Human Capital Theory, the Resource-Based View, and the Ability-Motivation-Opportunity (AMO) framework. The results also indicate that investment in human capital, in the form of job training, functions as a fundamental booster of organizational performance and productivity. In the Indian context, it provides empirical support for the growing recognition of workforce development as a national engine of economic development and competitiveness. Despite its strong results, the study identifies shortcomings—i.e., reliance on self-reported data, a cross-sectional design, and industry-specific limitations—that can be addressed in follow-up research through longitudinal studies, larger sample sizes, and comparative studies across diverse industries. Therefore, in conclusion, the study clearly shows that effective job training enhances both employee and organizational productivity, thereby supporting the argument that investment in human capital is the most effective strategy for companies seeking continued development, innovation, and success in an increasingly global, highly competitive world.

Acknowledgment: N/A

Data Availability Statement: The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request, ensuring compliance with ethical and privacy considerations.

Funding Statement: The authors confirm that this research was conducted without any external financial support from public, private, or non-profit funding agencies.

Conflicts of Interest Statement: All authors affirm that there are no known conflicts of interest—financial, personal, or professional—that could have influenced the design, execution, or reporting of this research work.

Ethics and Consent Statement: This study was conducted in accordance with established ethical principles, ensuring the dignity, rights, and safety of all participants, with informed consent obtained before data collection. Participant anonymity and confidentiality were maintained through secure data handling, and any future use of data will comply with ethical standards and obtain appropriate consent.

References

1. H. Aguinis and K. Kraiger, "Benefits of training and development for individuals and teams, organizations, and society," *Annual Review of Psychology*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 451–474, 2009.
2. W. Arthur, W. Bennett, P. S. Edens, and S. T. Bell, "Effectiveness of training in organizations: A meta-analysis of design and evaluation features," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, vol. 88, no. 2, pp. 234–245, 2003.
3. T. T. Baldwin and J. K. Ford, "Transfer of training: A review and directions for future research," *Personnel Psychology*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 63–105, 1988.
4. J. Barney, "Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage," *Journal of Management*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 99–120, 1991.
5. G. S. Becker, "Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education," *National Bureau of Economic Research*, Massachusetts, United States of America, 1964.
6. K. Kraiger, D. McLinden, and W. J. Casper, "Collaborative planning for training impact," *Human Resource Management*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 337–351, 2004.
7. E. Cohen, "Employee training and development," 1st ed., *Routledge*, London, United Kingdom, 2010.
8. E. Salas, S. I. Tannenbaum, K. Kraiger, and K. A. Smith-Jentsch, "The science of training and development in organizations: What matters in practice," *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 74–101, 2012.
9. M. Armstrong and S. Taylor, "Armstrong's handbook of human resource management practice," 13th ed., *Kogan Page Limited*, London, United Kingdom, 2014.
10. G. S. Becker, "Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education," 3rd ed., *National Bureau of Economic Research*, Massachusetts, United States of America, 1994.

11. J. Renton, “Coaching and Mentoring: What They Are and How to Make the Most of Them,” *John Wiley & Sons*, Hoboken, New Jersey, United States of America, 2009.
12. R. L. Jacobs, “Structured on-the-job training: Unleashing employee expertise in the workplace,” *Berrett-Koehler Publishers*, California, United States of America, 2003.
13. D. L. Kirkpatrick and J. D. Kirkpatrick, “Evaluating training programs: The four levels,” 3rd ed., *Berrett-Koehler*, California, United States of America, 2006.
14. I. Nonaka and H. Takeuchi, “The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation,” *Oxford University Press*, Oxford, England, United Kingdom, 1995.
15. T. Sitzmann, K. Kraiger, D. Stewart, and R. Wisher, “The comparative effectiveness of web-based and classroom instruction: A meta-analysis,” *Personnel Psychology*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 623–664, 2006.
16. P. Tharenou, A. M. Saks, and C. Moore, “A review and critique of research on training and organizational-level outcomes,” *Human Resource Management Review*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 251–273, 2007.
17. V. H. Vroom, “Work and motivation,” *John Wiley & Sons*, Hoboken, New Jersey, United States of America, 1964.
18. I. L. Goldstein and J. K. Ford, “Training in organizations: Needs assessment, development, and evaluation,” 4th ed., *Wadsworth*, Belmont, California, United States of America, 2002.
19. J. T. Delaney and M. A. Huselid, “The impact of human resource management practices on perceptions of organizational performance,” *Academy of Management Journal*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 949–969, 1996.